

**SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NEXT GENERATION ACCOUNTABILITY**

Dec. 1, 10 a.m.-4 p.m.

**State Library Commons Area
Pierre, South Dakota**

1. Welcome and Review - Dr. Rick Melmer
2. Proposed Accountability Model Review and Discussion
 - a. Overview of School Performance Index (SPI)
 - i. Index with five key Indicators
 - ii. Model of continuous improvement
 - i. DECISION POINTS:
Which indicators on SPI are appropriate?
How often to re-set the bell curve?
Where should the Proficient line be set?
 - b. Review of Indicator #1: Student Achievement
 - i. DECISION POINTS:
 - i. Which subgroups to include in Gap Group?
 - ii. Weighting of Gap Group and Non-Gap Group?
 - iii. N size of 10?
 - c. Review of Indicator #2: Academic Growth
 - i. DECISION POINTS:
 - i. High school level: No growth model until 2014-15?
 - ii. Elementary/middle school levels:
Which growth model to pursue: Transition or Linear Regression?
 - d. Review of Indicator #3: College/Career Readiness and Attendance
 - i. DECISION POINTS:
 - i. Attendance (elementary/middle school levels)
 - a. Set consistent statewide attendance policy?
 - b. Other metrics to include besides attendance?
 - ii. College/Career Readiness (high school level)
 - a. What factors should be included as metrics?
 - b. Use the 5-year grad rate for accountability and 4-year cohort rate for reporting?
 - c. Weighting of metrics?

- e. Review of Indicator #4: Effective Teachers and Leaders
 - i. DECISION POINT: Weighting of qualitative and quantitative factors?
- f. Review of Indicator #5: Healthy Schools
 - i. DECISION POINTS:
 - i. Which factors should be included as metrics?
 - ii. Weighting of metrics?
- g. School Performance Index – Points per Indicator
 - i. DECISION POINT:
 - i. Points per Indicator?
- h. Classification of schools
 - i. DECISION POINTS:
 - i. Another classification of schools?
- 3. Next Steps
 - a. Communications plan – sharing the model with the field
- 4. Other
- 5. Final Thoughts – Dr. Melody Schopp